
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING

9:30 A.M., Thursday, May 26, 2022

Meeting Minutes

1) Open Meeting

Voting Members in Attendance: Richard Williams, Sr. (Town of Patterson); Warren Lucas (Town of North Salem); JoAnne Daley for James Schmitt (Town of Pawling); Matthew Slater (Town of Yorktown); Rich Franzetti as alternate for Michael Cazzari (Town of Carmel); Vincent Tamagna as alternate for MaryEllen Odell (Putnam County)

Others in Attendance: Millie Magraw (Westchester County); Andrea Rendo (Town of Lewisboro); Christine Chale (Corporate Counsel); Todd Hirsch (Corporate Counsel); Vincent Giorgio (NYCDEP); Kevin Fitzpatrick (EOHWC); Keith Giguere (EOHWC); Linda Matera (EOHWC)

2) Approve Meeting Minutes of April 21, 2022

Motion by Alternate Franzetti, seconded by Director Slater to approve the Executive Committee meeting minutes of April 21, 2022; all in favor.

3) Financial Update

Keith Giguere reported that the IRS Form 990 and CHAR500 that were approved at the May Board meeting were filed on May 11th and are currently under review. We are waiting to receive notification that they have been approved. Not much has changed with regard to the financials since the Board meeting. We still have \$15,750,000 total cash and investments of which \$10,051,000 is FAD-related.

4) List of Reporting and Filing Due Dates

Linda Matera reported that we are waiting for one last 2021 O&M payment from Yorktown. Once it is received, payments will be sent to towns due a refund for having completed their O&M. An invoice will then be submitted with the O&M backup to Westchester County. Once we receive the reimbursement, all Westchester towns will be made whole. Supervisor Slater said that his comptroller had some questions and would contact Kevin for clarification.

Three members still need to complete ABO Board Member Training. No further updates have been provided regarding the submission of Financial Disclosures, which were due on May 15.

5) Project Update

Kevin Fitzpatrick reported that we are currently at a total of 665 kilograms completed. By the end of June, he will be submitting for approximately 20 additional kilograms as the projects highlighted in green (NS-EB-901, SE-EB-715, Y-MU-602) at the top of the Running Project Status table begin to close out and get accounted for. We are planning on going to construction on some projects this summer. Some are channel stabilization and catch basin inserts that will account for a large chunk of

kilogram reduction for the remainder of the year. They will include NewC-NCR-801, NS-MU-601, S-AM-1015 and S-CF-901. It will be heavy on non-FAD projects but are ready to go forward. With regard to NewC-NCR-801, we are waiting for the New York City Department of Environmental Protection (NYCDEP) Land Use Permit in order to move forward with construction and move forward with the town. Kevin invited questions.

6) Public Hearing for Videoconference

At 9:45 a.m., Director Williams announced that since the Corporation noticed a Public Hearing regarding Videoconferencing would open at 9:45 a.m., he would deviate from the agenda to address agenda item 9b. Christine Chale read the Public Hearing aloud.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a public hearing will be held by the Executive Committee of the East of Hudson Watershed Corporation on May 26, 2022 at 9:45 A.M. local time, to hear all interested persons on a proposal to permit the use of videoconferencing for the East of Hudson Watershed Corporation board and its board committees to the extent permitted by the Open Meetings Law, as amended by Chapter. 56 of the NY Laws of 2022.

The proposed action is best described as a local legislative decision concerning routine administration and management under the State Environmental Quality Review Act (“SEQRA”).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that copies of said proposal are available for review at the offices of the East of Hudson Watershed Corporation, 2 Route 164, Suite 2, Patterson, NY 12563 and on the EOHWC website at <https://www.eohwc.org>.

Pursuant to NY Laws of 2022 Chapter 56 and Executive Order 11, as amended, the hearing will be held via teleconference or video conference. Please refer to the meeting agenda to be posted on the EOHWC website at <https://www.eohwc.org>. All interested persons will be given an opportunity to be heard. Interested persons may register in advance to participate remotely via Zoom. To register, please send your email, name and telephone number to linda@eohwc.org by noon on the business day prior to the date of the hearing.

All reasonable accommodations will be made for persons with disabilities. In such a case, please notify the contact above in advance or by phone to 845.319.6349 so that arrangements can be made.

By order of the Board of Directors of the EOHWC, dated May 10, 2022.

JoAnne Daley, Secretary, EOHWC

Director Williams asked if anyone had comments they wished to make on the policy. Hearing no comments, a motion was made to close the Public Hearing. Motion by Alternate Tamagna, seconded by Alternate Franzetti to close the Public Hearing regarding Videoconferencing; all in favor.

7) Approval of Change Orders

a. Scolpino Park/SE-EB-715

Kevin reported that they are getting ready to close out the Scolpino Park project. The first change order for discussion is a deduction and field adjustments. There are multiple pieces that are coming off the contract including electrical work, rock removal and bog turtle fencing. The additional pieces that were added during construction were the manipulation of the catch basin on site for approximately \$525 to adjust the frame and grate and to make sure the incoming pipe that entered the existing drainage structure was able to stay secure. Once it was opened, we found out that it was in a bit of rough shape and needed a bit of brick work and stabilization. The asphalt

curbing that was installed along the edge of the porous pavement was put in because we found the existing curb line was compromised entirely and would create a situation where the water would have seeped through and underneath the existing sidewalk and create potholes. A tree replacement was added to address the 5 adult crab apple trees that were removed in order to install the bioretention area and we replaced that with another 2 trees in another location. The full reduction of the contract is \$24,182.40, creating a positive effect on the efficiency. The original efficiency was \$80,000 per kilogram. With the reduction it was adjusted to \$75,000 per kilogram.

Motion by Director Lucas, seconded by Alternate Franzetti to approve change order #1 submitted by Yonkers Excavating for a reduction of \$24,182.40 for SE-EB-715 to decrease the construction cost from \$401,237.00 to \$377,054.60.

WHEREAS, Yonkers Excavating submitted change order request Number 1 dated 5/11/22 for a reduction of \$24,182.40 for SE-EB-715 in the Years 6-10 retrofit plan; and

WHEREAS, SE-EB-715 is located on property of Scolpino Park in the Town of Southeast and consists of installation of pervious pavement and bio-infiltration; and

WHEREAS, the proposed change order request is to address agreed upon field adjustments and contract deductions.

WHEREAS, this change order, if approved, would decrease the construction cost from \$401,237.00 to \$377,054.60 and would have an updated efficiency of approximately \$75,000/kg with an estimated phosphorus reduction of 4.58 kg/year.

NOW THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, by the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors of the East of Hudson Watershed Corporation that it approves the change order request from Yonkers Excavating Corporation dated 5/11/22 for a reduction of \$24,182.40 for SE-EB-715 decreasing the construction cost from \$401,237.00 to \$377,054.60.

Motion passed; all in favor.

R-0536-06

b. Courtmel Road/NewC-NCR-801

Kevin presented a design change order submitted by Hudson Engineering and Consulting for NewC-NCR-801 in the amount of \$4,005 to account for a NYCDEP requested landscaping plan that got added on to the contract once the project was started. The original intention was to install the project in the existing right of way within NYCDEP property. The NYCDEP's engineering and regulatory division elected not to go with that after we had moved forward with the design services and instead, requested we get a Land Use Permit and expand our design area so the landscape plan was not accounted for at the beginning of design services. Director Lucas asked for clarification from Kevin. Kevin explained that the project contains two municipal outfalls which were originally discharging into NYCDEP property along an easement that the Town of New Castle has. The original intention was to continue piping those outfalls down to the Kisco River. Once we reached about 30% engineering, the NYCDEP changed their perspective and chose instead just to have stabilized channels instead of piping the outfalls all the way down. Had we piped, we would not have had a landscaping plan in place. Originally, there was not a Land Use Permit. At 30% design the NYCDEP

required us to get the Land Use Permit. There is no cost for the Land Use Permit because it falls under the circumstance where channel stabilization doesn't carry the \$2,500 charge annually. There will be an agreement in place to make sure the maintenance is being carried out but there will not be a charge for the Land Use Permit.

Motion by Alternate Franzetti, seconded by Director Lucas to approve change order #1 submitted by Hudson Engineering and Consulting for an increase of \$4,005 for NewC-NCR-801, increasing the engineering cost from \$44,800 to \$48,805.

WHEREAS, Hudson Engineering & Consulting, P.C. submitted change order request Number 1 dated 4/21/22 for an additional \$4,005 for NewC-NCR-801 in project group 2019-02 of the Years 6-10 MS4 regional plan; and

WHEREAS, NewC-NCR-801 is located on Courtmel Road in the Town of New Castle and consists of providing design and construction administration for the installation channel stabilization and catch basin inserts; and

WHEREAS, the proposed change order request is for Hudson Engineering & Consulting, P.C. to address costs incurred by Hudson Engineering & Consulting, P.C. regarding design charges for incurred overages in the pursuit of a NYCDEP Land Use Permit as an addition to the original scope of work; and

WHEREAS, this change order, if approved, would increase the design cost from \$44,800 to \$48,805 and would have an updated efficiency of approximately \$35,000/kg with an estimated phosphorus reduction of 22.67 kg/year.

NOW THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, by the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors of the East of Hudson Watershed Corporation that it approves the change order request from Hudson Engineering & Consulting, P.C. dated 4/21/22 for an additional \$4,005 for NewC-NCR-801 increasing the design cost from \$44,800 to \$48,805.

Motion passed; all in favor.

R-0526-07

8) Award Solicitation 2022-01

Director Williams recused himself from consideration of this agenda item due to his family relationship with a member of one of the responding firms and turned the meeting over to Director Slater. Director Slater proceeding with consideration of the award of Solicitation 2022-01. Kevin said that the Solicitation is for 3 separate projects: PC-MB-1000, L-MU-1017 and L-CR-1001. All three projects involve bioinfiltration which is essentially bioretention but we get additional credit using green infrastructure. As proposed, all projects should get 100% reduction and provide great value in each location. Insite Engineering came in as low bidder on all three projects. The Review Committee looked over all of the proposals for all criteria as dictated in the Project Selection Policy and have elected to move forward with Insite Engineering for all three projects.

Motion by Director Lucas, seconded by Alternate Franzetti to approve the award for design services for Solicitation 2022-01 for stormwater retrofit project PC-MB-1000.

WHEREAS, the proposed stormwater retrofit project known as PC-MB-1000 is located at John Simpson Road in the Town of Southeast on Putnam County property in project group 2022-01 and is

included in the Years 6-10 MS4 Regional Plan approved by the NYS Department of Environmental Conservation; and

WHEREAS, the work consists of providing design and construction administration services for installation of a tied bio-infiltration system along county-owned property in the Town of Southeast with an estimated phosphorus reduction of 7.72 kg/yr. and estimated efficiency of \$15,000/kg; and

WHEREAS, a Request for Proposals was advertised and four (3) proposals were received at the East of Hudson Watershed Corporation offices on May 5, 2022; and

WHEREAS, the Review Committee of the EOHWC has reviewed all proposals according to the requirements set forth in the EOHWC Procurement Policy and found the proposal of best value based upon stormwater retrofit experience to have been submitted by Insite Engineering, Surveying and Landscape Architecture, P.C. in the amount of \$40,000 for PC-MB-1000; and

WHEREAS, EOHWC contacted the references for Insite Engineering, Surveying and Landscape Architecture, P.C. and contacted Insite Engineering, Surveying and Landscape Architecture, P.C. directly and was assured that they can complete the project for the amount proposed; and

WHEREAS, the EOHWC Review Committee has recommended that the corporation accept Insite Engineering, Surveying and Landscape Architecture, P.C. as best value proposer for the project and proceed with the contract pending submission of all necessary documents per the EOHWC Procurement Policy; and

NOW THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, by the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors that it accepts Insite Engineering, Surveying and Landscape Architecture, P.C. as best value proposer for the design of the project for PC-MB-1000, and authorizes the President or Vice President of the Corporation to execute the design contract with Insite Engineering, Surveying and Landscape Architecture, P.C. subject to the following conditions:

1. Submission of insurance by the engineer as required by the design contract;
2. Review of the design contract by the Corporation's Counsel.

Motion passed; all in favor (with Director Williams recused).

R-0526-02

Motion by Director Lucas, seconded by Alternate Franzetti to approve the award for design services for Solicitation 2022-01 for stormwater retrofit project L-MU-1017.

WHEREAS, the proposed stormwater retrofit project known as L-MU-1017 is located at Upper Lake Shore Drive in the Town of Lewisboro on private property in project group 2022-01 and is included in the Years 6-10 MS4 Regional Plan approved by the NYS Department of Environmental Conservation; and

WHEREAS, the work consists of providing design and construction administration services for installation of a tied bioinfiltration system within the existing drainage easement along Lake Katonah in the Town of Lewisboro with an estimated phosphorus reduction of 7.1 kg/yr. and estimated efficiency of \$20,000/kg; and

WHEREAS, a Request for Proposals was advertised and four (3) proposals were received at the East of Hudson Watershed Corporation offices on May 5, 2022; and

WHEREAS, the Review Committee of the EOHWC has reviewed all proposals according to the requirements set forth in the EOHWC Procurement Policy and found the proposal of best value based upon stormwater retrofit experience to have been submitted by Insite Engineering, Surveying and Landscape Architecture, P.C. in the amount of \$41,000 for L-MU-1017; and

WHEREAS, EOHWC contacted the references for Insite Engineering, Surveying and Landscape Architecture, P.C. and contacted Insite Engineering, Surveying and Landscape Architecture, P.C. directly and was assured that they can complete the project for the amount proposed; and

WHEREAS, the EOHWC Review Committee has recommended that the corporation accept Insite Engineering, Surveying and Landscape Architecture, P.C. as best value proposer for the project and proceed with the contract pending submission of all necessary documents per the EOHWC Procurement Policy; and

NOW THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, by the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors that it accepts Insite Engineering, Surveying and Landscape Architecture, P.C. as best value proposer for the design of the project for L-MU-1017, and authorizes the President or Vice President of the Corporation to execute the design contract with Insite Engineering, Surveying and Landscape Architecture, P.C. subject to the following conditions:

1. Submission of insurance by the engineer as required by the design contract;
2. Review of the design contract by the Corporation's Counsel.

Motion passed; all in favor (with Director Williams recused)..

R-0526-03

Motion by Director Lucas, seconded by Alternate Franzetti to approve the award for design services for Solicitation 2022-01 for stormwater retrofit project L-CR-1001.

WHEREAS, the proposed stormwater retrofit project known as L-CR-1001 is located at Perch Bay Road in the Town of Lewisboro on private and municipal property in project group 2022-01 and is included in the Years 6-10 MS4 Regional Plan approved by the NYS Department of Environmental Conservation; and

WHEREAS, the work consists of providing design and construction administration services

for installation of a tied bioinfiltration system within the Lewisboro right-of-way on Perch Bay Road, and another along the entrance driveway to the Waccabuc Country Club-Beach Club in the Town of Lewisboro with an estimated phosphorus reduction of 2.58 kg/yr. and estimated efficiency of \$35,000/kg; and

WHEREAS, a Request for Proposals was advertised and four (3) proposals were received at the East of Hudson Watershed Corporation offices on May 5, 2022; and

WHEREAS, the Review Committee of the EOHWC has reviewed all proposals according to the requirements set forth in the EOHWC Procurement Policy and found the proposal of best value based upon stormwater retrofit experience to have been submitted by Insite Engineering, Surveying and Landscape Architecture, P.C. in the amount of \$36,000 for L-CR-1001; and

WHEREAS, EOHWC contacted the references for Insite Engineering, Surveying and Landscape Architecture, P.C. and contacted Insite Engineering, Surveying and Landscape Architecture, P.C. directly and was assured that they can complete the project for the amount proposed; and

WHEREAS, the EOHWC Review Committee has recommended that the corporation accept Insite Engineering, Surveying and Landscape Architecture, P.C. as best value proposer for the project and proceed with the contract pending submission of all necessary documents per the EOHWC Procurement Policy; and

NOW THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, by the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors that it accepts Insite Engineering, Surveying and Landscape Architecture, P.C. as best value proposer for the design of the project for L-CR-1001, and authorizes the President or Vice President of the Corporation to execute the design contract with Insite Engineering, Surveying and Landscape Architecture, P.C. subject to the following conditions:

1. Submission of insurance by the engineer as required by the design contract;
2. Review of the design contract by the Corporation's Counsel.

Motion passed; all in favor (with Director Williams recused).

R-0526-04

Director Williams returned to the meeting and resumed the chair.

9) Installation Agreement Approval-Keeler Lane/NS-MU-601

Kevin explained that we are looking to authorize the president to enter into an installation agreement with private property owners for the Keeler Lane project in North Salem (NS-MU-601). It's a long-running channel stabilization through two separate lots. Counsel asked that two items are addressed which had been added to our installation agreements after we began consideration of this project, one of which was the hazardous material addition which has come up in recent months for discussion. The second item was the language for mortgage subordination which had been excluded from the agreement. The installation agreement has gone through legal review on their ends and has been long

running over multiple years of review and now we are finally at the conclusion. Kevin's recommendation at this time, given the requirements of this project is that we move forward without hazardous material language given the nature of this channel stabilization and without the mortgage subordination. Director Lucas added that he is very familiar with the property and is confident that there are no hazardous materials buried on site. He thanked Kevin for the time he spent working on this project over the years. Kevin added that in both cases, these are municipal discharge channels that are in place. The one located at Snow Hill Farm is along the property used to be pumped before it was a channel, so we know there is nothing in there, with the exception of some sediment that's been deposited from uphill on the slope. The project at the top, which is the Morris property, is an existing channel along the property line. At the time we had this discussion was a few years ago at an Executive Committee meeting. We decided not to move forward with the subordination of the mortgage because it is a drainage channel. The town has certain rights to be able to access the drainage channel and it isn't a situation where somebody can try to come in and get rid of our retrofit. It is going to have to stay on site in perpetuity to make sure the water passes through the project site. That was the original reasoning for the mortgage issue at the top of hill. In addition to what Director Lucas was touching on earlier, we didn't want to go back to all the individual banks to renegotiate and provide necessary documentation at that time. Director Williams asked if the agreements were easements to access the property. Kevin said they are and that the town of North Salem would be responsible for the maintenance.

Motion by Alternate Franzetti, seconded by Director Slater to approve the proposed installation agreement for NS-MU-601 located at Keeler Lane in the Town of North Salem.

WHEREAS, EOHWC is assisting its member municipalities, of which the Town of North Salem (the "Municipality") is one, in complying with the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation ("NYSDEC") Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems Permit effective May 1, 2010 ("MS4 Permit") by the design and installation of stormwater retrofit projects approved by NYSDEC; and

WHEREAS, Janet C. Olshansky is the owner of certain property known as 154 Keeler Lane, North Salem, New York 10560, Tax Lot 36.-1705-54; and

WHEREAS, Mrs. Mary Russell and James O'Donohue, as Trustees of the O'Donohue Family Property Trust, are the owners of certain property known as 91 Keeler Lane, North Salem, New York 10560, Tax Lot 36.-1704-22; and

WHEREAS, EOHWC is considering undertaking a stormwater retrofit project involving the stabilization of a heavily eroded channel in the Municipality, including re-grading of channel banks (the "Project") on the Owners' respective properties located at 91 Keeler Lane and 154 Keeler Lane (collectively, the "Property"); and

WHEREAS, the EOHWC, Municipality, and the Owners of the Property wish to enter into two separate agreements regarding the installation of the Project at the Property, one agreement for 91 Keeler Lane and one agreement for 154 Keeler Lane (the "Installation Agreements") and EOHWC has received drafts of said Installation Agreements, copies of which are on file with the office of EOHWC; and

WHEREAS, the EOHWC Executive Committee has considered the proposed Installation

Agreements and all other relevant information and believes that entering into the Installation Agreements is in the best interests of the Corporation; and

WHEREAS, on May 28, 2020, by Resolution No. R-0528-05, the EOHWC Executive Committee reviewed the Short Environmental Assessment Form dated May 28, 2020 and all relevant environmental information related to the Project and resolved that the Project would not have an adverse impact on the environment and that a draft environmental impact statement would not be prepared.

NOW THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, by the Executive Committee of EOHWC as follows:

1. Pursuant to Resolution No. R-0924-02 amending the Project Selection Policy, taking into account the facts and circumstances of the project, the recommendation of the Director of Engineering, and the efficiency of the project, the Executive Committee hereby determines that the mortgage or other liens affecting the subject Property are not required to be subordinated.
2. The execution and delivery of the Installation Agreements are hereby approved. The President of the EOHWC is hereby authorized to execute and deliver the Installation Agreements on behalf of the EOHWC, in substantially the form on file in the offices of the EOHWC, with such changes as may be approved by the party executing the same on behalf of the EOHWC.

The officers, employees and agents of the EOHWC are hereby authorized and directed for and in the name and on behalf of the EOHWC to do all acts and things as may be necessary or, in the opinion of the officer, employee or agent acting, desirable and proper to effect the purposes of the foregoing resolution.

Motion passed; all in favor.

R-0526-05

10) O&M Program Update

The O&M update was addressed during the item on reporting dates.

11) Open Meetings Law Videoconferencing Procedures

Christine Chale explained that the Open Meetings Law regarding videoconferencing was discussed at length at last month's Board meeting. The policy is being adopted pursuant to a special law that provides for videoconferencing procedures when the Covid period of Executive Orders ends which is currently June 14th. In the case that it is not extended, the only continuing ability to join a meeting where you do not have the right of the public to be at the locations where you're at is pursuant to this new authorization. The Public Hearing had to be held and a procedure had to be adopted to authorize the use of this videoconferencing with "extraordinary circumstances" where the public is not allowed to be with someone at a location where they are at under these circumstances. We've proposed specific procedures to be adopted by the Executive Committee pursuant to the authorization of the Board to carry out those meetings. Director Williams said that even if we adopt this, he is presuming that the next meeting will have to be held at the East of Hudson Watershed Corporation office in Patterson. Christine said the last line of the latest version of the policy will need to be edited regarding continuation of the Covid emergency because after some further conversations with the Committee on Open Government (COOG), we would have that line say that public bodies may continue to conduct meetings

following the remote meetings procedure that was authorized pursuant to Executive Order No. 11 and Chapter 1 of the Laws of 2022 during the Covid pandemic. To the extent that the Governor continues to authorize any extensions past June 14th, meetings could continue to be held under the old rules. One of the differences in the old rules to the new rules is that under the new rules, you are all on video so that you can see and hear one another.

Motion by Alternate Franzetti, seconded by Director Slater to approve the policy regarding meetings held by videoconference as amended by Christine Chale.

WHEREAS, Chapter 56 of the Laws of 2022 amended the Open Meetings Law to authorize the continued use of videoconferencing to conduct public meetings subject to certain conditions set forth therein; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to authorization of the Board of Directors, the Executive Committee held a public hearing on May 26, 2022 at 9:45 a.m. after due notice, and has considered all comments received at such hearing or prior thereto; and

WHEREAS, the Executive Committee of EOHWC has determined that it is in the best interests of EOHWC and the public to authorize the conduct of meetings using videoconferencing to the extent permitted by law; and

NOW THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, by the Executive Committee of EOHWC as follows:

1. The use of videoconferencing to conduct meetings of the Board of Directors, Audit Committee, Governance Committee, Finance Committee, and Executive Committee is hereby authorized to the extent permitted by law.
2. The EOHWC Videoconferencing Policy in the form attached hereto and on file with the Secretary is hereby approved and adopted for the conduct of meetings via videoconferencing.
3. The officers, employees and agents of the EOHWC are hereby authorized and directed for and in the name and on behalf of the EOHWC to do all acts and things as may be necessary or, in the opinion of the officer, employee or agent acting, desirable and proper to effect the purposes of the foregoing resolution.

Motion passed; all in favor.

R-0526-01

7) Checks and vouchers

Monthly vouchers were signed. No action taken.

8) Other business

Director William inquired about where in the process Kevin is with the sewer study RFP. Kevin said speaking as a concerned citizen of the watershed, we are waiting for a response from the state for the MWBE requirements which make sure we're meeting everything necessary and then we'll be ready to

post it and get it out. Director Lucas said we did go back and forth with the issue of the MBWE percentage, and he doesn't know where they are right now. Kevin indicated that the contracts given to us from the state called for 30%.

Christine clarified that the next meeting will either be held with the same rules followed during the Covid emergency if the Governor extends the Executive Order by the time we issue the notice of meeting, or a notice of meeting will be issued that complies with the new video rules or members will meeting in-person at the EOHWC offices in Patterson. Director Williams agreed that it would be one of those three options.

8) Adjournment - Motion to adjourn by Director Slater, seconded by Director Lucas; all in favor. Adjourned 10:11 AM.